



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,847	11/26/2003	Pascal Salazar-Ferrer	14XZ130600	6549
23413 7590 08/23/2007 CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			EXAMINER	
55 GRIFFIN R	OAD SOUTH		nguyen, jennifer t	
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/722,847	SALAZAR-FERRER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer T. Nguyen	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-15</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the off Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is responsive to amendment filed on 06/12/07.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "an articulated control lever or joystick" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,847 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 6, 13, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (Pub. No.: US 2003/0016417 A1) in view of Chevassus et al. (Patent No. US 6,342,878).

Regarding claims 1 and 16, Lee teaches a peripheral device (figs. 5 and 6) for manipulating images comprising:

a gripping element (fig. 5);

means (13) for transmitting command information to means for processing as a function of shift and/or efforts applied by the user on the device [28];

means (15) for actuating by direct manual input by a hand of the user to control the means for processing to switch from one operating mode (i.e., three dimensional operating mode) [29-30] to an operating mode (i.e., two dimensional operating mode) where the device is used as a pointer or for selection and vice versa [31].

Lee differs from claims 1 and 16 in that he does not specifically teach in the three dimensional operating mode, the gripping element is used for manipulating images

Chevassus teaches in the three dimensional operating mode, the gripping element is used for manipulating images (col. 6, lines 48-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2629

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the device is used for manipulating images in the 3D operating mode as taught by Chevassus in the system of Lee in order to allow the device may be used in different computer application domains, especially interaction in three dimensional virtual spaces on the screen.

Regarding claim 2, Lee teaches the means for actuating comprise a button (15) [30-31].

Regarding claim 6, Lee teaches the device presents a gripping head mounted on a transmitter for shifts and/or efforts, the head presenting a groove enclosing it at least partially and which facilitates positioning of the fingers of the user [28].

Regarding claim 17, the combination of Lee and Chevassus teaches the means for transmitting command information comprises an articulated control lever or joystick for manipulating 3D image (col. 1, lines11-15, lines 51054 of Chevassus).

5. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (Pub. No.: US 2003/0016417 A1) in view of Chevassus et al. (Patent No. US 6,342,878) and further in view of Reid et al. (Patent No. US 6,853,365).

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Lee and Chevassus teaches the device has a gripping head and a transmitter for shifts and/or efforts, other end has an arched section at its [331 of Lee].

the combination of Lee and Chevassus differs from claim 3 in that it does not specifically teach the gripping head mounted a transmitter for shifts the head having a general elongated hemispheric shape terminating at one end in a substantially straight edge.

Reid teaches a general elongated hemispheric shape terminating at one end in a substantially straight edge (fig. 9) and it would have been obvious to obtain the transmitter

Art Unit: 2629

mounted on the head of the device in order to transmit and receive signals efficiently. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the end in a substantially straight edge as taught by Reid in the system of the combination of Lee and Chevassus in order to fit easily to user's hand.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, the combination of Lee, Chevassus, and Reid teaches the device has a gripping head mounted on a transmitter for shifts and/or efforts, the head presenting on its gripping face three recesses (107-109, figs. 1-2) distributed in a triangle in symmetrical fashion constituting tactile markers for positioning the hand of the user (col. 5, lines 1-23 of Reid).

6. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (Pub. No.: US 2003/0016417 A1) in view of Chevassus et al. (Patent No. US 6,342,878) and further in view of Zagnoev (Pub. No. US 2003/0090394).

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Lee and Chevassus differs from claim 7 in that it does not specifically teach a wall forming a wrist-rest in front of the device.

Zagnoev teaches a wall forming a wrist-rest (21) in front of the device (10) [0026].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the wrist-rest as taught by Zagnoev in the system of the combination of Lee and Chevassus in order to allow the user to use the device comfortly.

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Lee, Chevassus, and Zagnoev teaches a casing (10) having on one side a plurality of buttons forming a keyboard (26) and on the other side the device to be manipulated by user (23) [0024]-[0030] of Zagnoev.

Art Unit: 2629

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Lee, Chevassus, and Zagnoev teaches a casing bearing a plurality of buttons, as well as the device to be manipulated by user, the device being placed in a tray which the casing presents (fig. 1, [0024]-[0030] of Zagnoev).

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Lee, Chevassus, and Zagnoev teaches a casing on which the device to be manipulated by user is placed, the device extending with a main reference plane which, in the position of use expected for this peripheral device, is inclined relative to the horizontal (fig. 2, [0024]-[0030] of Zagnoev).

Regarding claims 11 and 12, although the combination of Lee, Chevassus, and Zagnoev does not specifically teach the angle of inclination is between 10 and 20 degree or 15 degree.

However, it would have been obvious to obtain those angle of inclination in order to allow the user to control the device comfortly.

- 7. Claims 13-15 are allowed.
- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 and 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7696.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Nguyen 08/18/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600